UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/543,195	07/22/2005	Dieter Teppke	U198US(PCT)	5501
20469 KOHLER SCH	20469 7590 04/18/2007 KOHLER SCHMID MOEBUS		EXAMINER	
RUPPMANNS	TRASSE 27		YOO, REGINA M	
D-70565 STUTTGART, GERMANY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1744	
		·		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 🗅	AYS	04/18/2007	DADED	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/543,195	TEPPKE, DIETER			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Regina Yoo	1744			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>.</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	·				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 22-43 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 22-43 are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the bedrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Art Unit: 1744

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 22-33, drawn to a method for disinfecting a microtome cryostat.

Group II, claim(s) 34-43, drawn to a device for disinfecting a microtome cryostat.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The corresponding special technical feature of Groups I and II is a device and a method for:

- (a) subjecting a closed cryostat to a defrosting phase;
 - (b) introducing a vaporous disinfectant into the closed cryostat chamber;
 - (c) waiting an effective time for action of the disinfectant;
 - (d) generating a temperature difference in the cryostat chamber; and
 - (e) discharging disinfectant deposited in a colder region of the cryostat.

Childers (5837193) discloses a device and a method for decontamination comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/543,195

Art Unit: 1744

(a) subjecting a closed cryostat to a defrosting phase (see entire document, particularly Col. 3, lines 4-6 and Col. 5, lines 28-45);

- (b) introducing a vaporous disinfectant into the closed cryostat chamber (see entire document, particularly Col. 3, lines 11-13, Col. 5, line 5, and Col. 7, lines 36-37);
- (c) waiting an effective time for action of the disinfectant (see entire document, particularly Col. 3, lines 1-3 and Col. 7, lines 41-45);
- (d) generating a temperature difference in the cryostat chamber (see entire document, particularly Col. 8, lines 55-56 and Col. 9, lines 1-4, and separate parts, such as the condenser, can be treated separately as seen in Col. 5, lines 14-15); and
- (e) discharging disinfectant in the cryostat (see entire document, particularly Col. 3, lines 36-38 and Col. 8, lines 47-48).

While Childers ('193) does not specifically teach that the sterilant is discharged from a colder region of the device being decontaminated, it is known in the art (particularly in sterilization method that uses a vapor phase hydrogen peroxide), as exemplified by Cummings (4952370), to discharge the sterilant from a colder portions of the surfaces of the device being decontaminated (see entire '370 document, particularly Col. 6, lines 63-68, specifically line 66) in order to perform sterilization without having to elevate temperature from a colder portion of the equipment which possesses multiple temperature zones (see entire '370 document, particularly Col. 1, lines 25-30, Col. 2, lines 13-38). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art at the time of invention to discharge the hydrogen peroxide sterilant from a colder region of the device and method of Childers in order to perform sterilization without altering

Application/Control Number: 10/543,195

Art Unit: 1744

temperatures within the entire device to one elevated temperature as shown by Cummings.

As all the limitations of the corresponding special technical feature are taught by Childers in view of Cummings, the corresponding special technical feature does not make a contribution over the prior art and thus the groups of applicant's inventions lacks a single general inventive concept. Therefore, there is a lack of unity of inventions.

3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/543,195 Page 5

Art Unit: 1744

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Regina Yoo whose telephone number is 571-272-6690.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00 am - 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gladys Corcoran can be reached on 571-272-1214. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

RY

GLADYS JP CORCORAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER